

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/651,649	08/29/2003	Lutz Assmann	Mo5605D3/LeA 32,692	9332
34469 7	7590 03/19/2004		EXAM	INER
BAYER CROPSCIENCE LP Patent Department			STOCKTON, LAURA	
100 BAYER R			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PITTSBURGE	I, PA 15205-9741		1626	
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/651,649	ASSMANN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the learned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute. cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. 8.133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on A	August 29, 2003.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	l, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and s	ndrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Trection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. The sents have been received in Application of the sent received in Application of the sent received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No. <u>09/530,721</u> . eived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/29/03. 	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma /08) 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 11-15 are pending in the application.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/530,721, filed on May 3, 2000.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Process b) in claim 12 does not produce the compounds of formula (I).

Application/Control Number: 10/651,649

Art Unit: 1626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimotori et al. {U.S. Pat. 5,240,951}.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

Applicants claim 3,4-dichloroisothiazole compounds. Shimotori et al. teach 3,4-dichloroisothiazole compounds which are structurally similar to the instant claimed compounds (column 2, lines 8-34; Examples 36 and 41 in columns 13-14; the process found in column 5, lines 35-68 and column 6, lines 1-11; and methods of use in column 6, lines 12-46). See in Shimotori et al. (column 2, lines 8-34), for example, wherein R¹ and R² each represent halogen (e.g., chloro), Y is NHR⁴ and

Application/Control Number: 10/651,649

Art Unit: 1626

R⁴ is an alkyl substituted with halogenphenyl groups. Also, see Table below.

Page 4

APPLICANTS' COMPOUNDS	PRIOR ART'S COMPOUNDS
The compound in instant claim 15 (or compound 25 on page 35)	Shimotori et al. {U.S. Pat. 5,240,951} Compounds 36 and 41 in columns 13-14; and compound 50 in columns 15-16

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The difference between the compounds of the prior art and the compounds instantly claimed is that the instant claimed compounds are generically described in the prior art.

Finding of prima facie obviousness--rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

The motivation to make the claimed compounds derives from the expectation that structurally similar compounds would possess similar activity (e.g. treating pests).

Art Unit: 1626

One skilled in the art would thus be motivated to prepare products embraced by the prior art to arrive at the instant claimed products with the expectation of obtaining additional beneficial products which would be useful in treating pests. The instant claimed invention would have been suggested to one skilled in the art and therefore, the instant claimed invention would have been obvious to one skilled in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura L. Stockton whose telephone number is (571) 272-0710. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:15 am to 2:45 pm. If the examiner is out of the Office, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Application/Control Number: 10/651,649

Art Unit: 1626

Page 6

The Official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626, Group 1620

Technology Center 1600

March 15, 2004